PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

Franks, Barry
AMERSHAM PLC
Amersham Place
Little Chalfont
Buckinghamshire HP7
GRANDE BRETAGNE

			1
	DUE DATE:		
	FORMALITIES:	HISL	
	PAT. OFF:	BF	
9	ON DB:		
	CASE NO:	P(D) 1-9-	PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

13.12.2004

Applicant's or agent's file reference

PS0269-PCT

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No. PCT/EP 03/09589

International filing date (day/month/year) 29.08.2003

Priority date (day/month/year)

29.08.2002

Applicant

To:

AMERSHAM HEALTH R&D AB et al.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

9)

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Officer

Sleex, C

Tel. +49 89 2399-8044





INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PS0269-PCT		FOR FURTHER AC	THER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)		
1	International application No. International PCT/EP 03/09589 29.08.200		day/month	nlyear)	Priority date (day/month/year) 29.08.2002
Internation A61K49A	al Patent Classification (IPC) or bo	th national classification ar	nd IPC		
Applicant AMERSI	Applicant AMERSHAM HEALTH R&D AB et al.				
1. This Auth	 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 				
2. This	This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).				
Thes	These annexes consist of a total of sheets.				
3. This	report contains indications rela	ating to the following iter	ms:		
l 	☐ Basis of the opinion				
11	☐ Priority ☐ Non-establishment of o	ninion with regard to no	edte in	tivo oton or	od industrial amplicability.
IV			no industrial applicability		
V	·				
VI	Certain documents cited	d		•	
VII	Certain defects in the in	• •			
VIII	Certain observations or	the international applica	ation		
Date of submission of the demand			Date of co	ompletion of this	s report
23.03.2004		13.12.2	004		
Name and mailing address of the international Authorized Officer preliminary examining authority:			Jisches Palaniam,		
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Beeck, M Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8473			399-8473		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/09589

 Basis of the repor 	sis of the report
--	-------------------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	scription, Pages			
	1-1	7	as originally filed		
	Claims, Numbers				
	1-1	6	as originally filed		
	Dra	wings, Sheets		·	
	1/5-	5/5	as originally filed		
2.	With lang	h regard to the langu guage in which the int	age, all the elements marked above were available or furnished ternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated unde	to this Authority in the r this item.	
	The	se elements were av	ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language:	, which is:	
		the language of a tra	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (u	nder Rule 23.1(b)).	
		the language of pub	lication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).		
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary east).	xamination (under	
3.	Witl inte	n regard to any nucle rnational preliminary	eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:	al application, the	
		contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.		
		filed together with th	e international application in computer readable form.		
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in written form.		
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.	•	
			he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go b pplication as filed has been furnished.	eyond the disclosure	
		The statement that t listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to ished.	the written sequence	
4.	The	amendments have r	esulted in the cancellation of:		
		the description,	pages:		
		the claims,	Nos.:		
		the drawings,	sheets:		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/09589

5.	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have
	been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-14

No: Claims 15,16

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 1-14

No: Claims 15,16

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-16

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

- D1: WO 00/71166 A (MORGENSTJERNE AXEL ;MOY DAVID (GB); NYCOMED IMAGING AS (NO); HANSS) 30 November 2000 (2000-11-30)
- D2: WO 00/40988 A (COOK NEIL ;KNOX PETER (GB); NYCOMED AMERSHAM PLC (GB); AXELSSON OK) 13 July 2000 (2000-07-13)

SECTION V:

وخور المرابرة

- The subject-matter of claim 1 is novel and differs from closest prior art document 1) D1 in that the remagnetisation of the contrast agent occurs non-adiabatically, whereas according to Document D1 the re-magnetization was done gradually (see page 6, lines 1 to 5).
 - Since the non-adiabatic re-magnetisation was not obvious for the person skilled in the art, the subject-matter of claims 1 to 14 involves an inventive step.
- Document D2 already discloses an apparatus for producing a NMR contrast agent 2) comprising storing means for enriched hydrogen, wherein the storing means are essentially free from para-hydrogen relaxing material (see page 13, line 27, to page 14, line 2, page 18, lines 17 to 22, and the claims).

Therefore the subject-matter of claims 15 and 16 is not novel (Article 33(2) PCT).